## PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY					MNS				
То:					PCT PCT				
						RITTEN OPINION OF THE IONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY  (PCT Rule 43bis.1)			
						(FC1 Rule 430/3.1)			
					Date of mailing (day/month/year)				
	ant's or a	gent's file referen	ce		FOR FURTHER	ACTION See paragraph 2 below			
	-	plication No. 2004/014	841	International filing date (	day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 09.10.2003			
Internat	tional Pa	tent Classification	n (IPC) or both	national classification an	d IPC				
	_								
Applica UNI		A LTD.							
	TOL 1		1	:					
1.		pinion contains ir Box No. I		<b>.</b>					
		Box No. II	Basis of the opinion						
	H	Box No. III	Priority  Non-parablishment of policion with regard to povelty, inventive step and industrial applicability						
	Ħ	Box No. IV	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability  Lack of unity of invention  Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement						
		Box No. V							
		Box No. VI	Certain docu	ments cited					
		Box No. VII	Certain defe	cts in the international app	olication				
		Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application						
2.	FURTHER ACTION  If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.								
	If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPE written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of FPCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.								
	For fu	rther options, see	Form PCT/IS/	V220.					
3.	For fu	rther details, see n	notes to Form F	°СТ/ISA/220.					
Name a	nd maili	ng address of the	ISA/JP		Authorized officer				
Facsimile No.					Telephone No.				

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/JP2004/014841

Box	No. I	Basis of this opinion
1.	filed	n regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was a unless otherwise indicated under this item.
	Ш	This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language
	_	, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under
		Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2.		regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed nation, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a.	type of material
		a sequence listing
		table(s) related to the sequence listing
	ъ.	format of material
		in written format
		in computer readable form
	c.	time of filing/furnishing
		contained in the international application as filed.
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.		In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4.	Addi	tional comments:
		·

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/JP2004/014841

Box	No. V			ale 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; poorting such statement	
1.	Statement				
	Novelty (1	N)	Claims	2-5, 7, 9	YES
			Claims	1, 6, 8	NO
	Inventive	step (IS)	Claims	2-5, 7, 9	YES
			Claims	1, 6, 8	NO
	Industrial applicability (IA)		Claims	1-9	YES
			Claims		NO

#### 2. Citations and explanations:

Document 1: JP, 2002-346594, A (Taiho Industries Co., Ltd.), 03 December, 2002 (03.12.02)

Document 2: JP, 2002-322355, A (Canon Inc.), 08 November, 2002 (08.11.02)

Document 3: JP, 2001-348498, A (Maruzen Polymer Kabushiki Kaisha), 18 December, 2001 (18.12.01)

Document 4: JP, 10-251498, A (Kanebo, Ltd.), 22 September, 1998 (22.09.98)

Document 5: JP, 9-316310, A (Kancbo, Ltd.), 09 December, 1997 (09.12.97)

Document 6: JP, 9-194706, A (Asahi Chemical Industry Co., Ltd.), 29 July, 1997 (29.07.97)

#### Claims 1 and 8:

The subject matters of claims 1 and 8 do not appear to be novel since they are described in documents 1-6 cited in the ISR.

#### Claim 6:

The subject matter of claim 6 does not appear to be novel since it is described in document 6 cited in the ISR.

#### Claim 6:

The subject matter of claim 6 does not appear to involve an inventive step according to documents 1-5 cited in the ISR.

Performing a comparative study of an amount added to an inorganic compound and regulating the amount to within a range of 1-30 mass in 100 mass of resin is easily obtainable for a person skilled in the art.

## Claims 2-5, 7 and 9:

The subject matters of claims 2-5, 7 and 9 are not described in any of the documents 1-6 cited in the ISR and since they are not obvious to a person skilled in the art, are considered an inventive step